

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/534,084	05/06/2005	Yoav Paltieli	P-1145-US4	2380
49443	7590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
PEARL COHEN ZEDEK, LLP			WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK	
10 ROCKEF	ELLER PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, NY 10020		3735	*

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ヒ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/534,084	PALTIELI, YOAV				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric F. Winakur	3735				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. In reply be timely filed  INTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 3	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,8,10,11,14,15,17,20,21,23,2 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		is/are pending in the application.				
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,8,10,11,14,15,17,20,21,23,2	25,26,28,30,31,34,36 and 37	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 May 2005</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ients have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
•						
Attachment(s)			,			
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/6/05.</li> </ol>	<i>'</i>	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 37 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "for of". (line 6) should read "for". Appropriate correction is required.

## Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 31, 34, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 31, 34, 36, and 37 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,669,653. This is a double patenting rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant cites several references that teach a variety of measurement systems and methods for monitoring the progress of labor. In particular, Cannon et al. teach a cervical dilation measuring device that measures a current induced in a coil when a current is passed through a second coil. The measured current is correlated with the distance between the two coils. Acker et al. teach an

obstetrical instrument system and method that determines position information related to maternal and fetal positions that relies upon measurement of non-ionizing fields, such as magnetic fields. Portions of the system are positioned on the mother and fetus, but the method does not include touching a position sensor to a fetal presenting part and a set of points on the mother or an apparatus for performing such a method, as set forth in the claims. Kemper et al. teach an ultrasound-based measurement system for determining cervical effacement and dilation. Ishikawa et al. teach a position measurement system, which can be used in performing measurements related to labor. None of the prior art teaches or suggests a method for monitoring the progress of labor that includes touching a position sensor to a fetal presenting part and a set of points on the mother and monitoring the position of the fetal presenting part with respect to at least one point from the set of points on the mother or calculating a relationship based on the sensed data, as set forth in the claims. Further, the prior art does not teach or suggest a position monitoring apparatus that includes a fetal key, a position sensor including a portion matching the shape of the fetal key, and a monitor for sensing the position of the position sensor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F. Winakur whose telephone number is 571/272-4736. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ali Imam can be reached on 571/272-4737. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Éric F Winakur Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

6 January 2006